Application No. 10/536,971
RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT
In reply to Office Action of June 19, 2009
Page 2

REMARKS/ARGUMENT

The Applicants respond to the restriction requirement of the Office Action of June 19,

2009. Claims 1 through 57 are pending in the application.

Response to Restriction Requirement

The Examiner applies a restriction requirement to elect a single invention to which

the claims must be restricted. Specifically, the Examiner requires the Applicants to elect

between three groups of inventions identified by the Examiner.

The Applicants elect with traverse Group 1, as identified by the Examiner, which

includes claims 1 through 20. No change in inventorship is caused by this election.

This election is made with traverse because the claims of Group II are dependent

upon the claims of Group I. The claims of Group II combine the flow restrictor with a tap

adapter for use in dispensing. Therefore, a common important element must be searched for

both Groups I and II.

In similar fashion, the tap adapter for use in dispensing is common to Groups II and

III. Again, a common, important element must be searched for both groups.

Reconsideration of the restriction is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

D-4-

Paul Grandinetti

Registration No. 30,754

Levy & Grandinetti P.O. Box 18385 Washington, D.C. 20036-8385 (202) 429-4560

20 July 2009